

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/028,827	WADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rosalynd Keys	1621	

All Participants:
Status of Application: abandoned

 (1) Rosalynd Keys.

(3) _____.

 (2) Stefan U. Koschmieder. Ph.D.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2006
Time: 6:28 p.m.
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: a call was made on March 27, 2006 to Dr. Koschmieder to inquire as to whether a response was filed to the final office action mailed September 20, 2005. Dr. Koshmieder returned my call on March 28, 2006 and informed me that the applicants did not wish to continue prosecution of this application.